Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
All	passim	passim	CEX	сх
1	passim		MR. MILLER	DR. MILLER
1	10	18	actually going to ask for preliminary the stipulate	actually going to preliminarily discuss the stipulated
1	10	19	exhibits and maybe a couple of things for judicial	exhibits and maybe consider a couple of things for judicial
1	12	3	The only matters at issues are the number	The only matters at issue are the number
1	16	5	had the bill of lading for NPPG.	had the bill of lading for PPG.
1	17	17	waste to be moved from BNW's lot where it was being	waste to be moved from B&W's lot where it was being
1	17	18	that were on the shipment including the Schordinger	that were on the shipment including the Strontium
1	18	16	the SDS from IDQ containing detailed information	the SDS from IEDQ containing detailed information
1	18	18	that were on the shipment including the Schrodinger	that were on the shipment including the strontium
1	19	17	human health and the environment missed the	human health and the environment misses the
		10	RCRA	RCRA
1	34	13	MR. FIGUR: Yes, ma'am.	MR. MCKAY: Yes, ma'am.
1	45	17	electronic case file, does EPA Criminals	electronic case file, does EPA Criminal
1	46	18	such that an administrative can see what has taken	such that an administrator can see what has taken
1	49	4	Investigative Activity that was conducted by Darren	Investigative Activity that was conducted by Darin
1	51	7	ongoing and when Darren Mugleston was the lead	ongoing and when Darin Mugleston was the lead
1	59	4	almost two years ago. Those recordings, the video	almost two years ago. Those recordings
1	59	5	of the copies of the recordings were in there two	of the copies of the recordings were in there two
1	68	16	Darren Mugleston.	Darin Mugleston.
1	68	22	Darren Mugleston was the	Darin Mugleston was the
1	69	4	and there was a point when Darren Mugleston retired	and there was a point when Darin Mugleston retired
1	71	10	statement. Because Darren was in the office with	statement. Because Darin was in the office with
1	71	16	interview conducted by Darren and by Darren you mean	interview conducted by Darin and by Darin you mean
1	71	17	Special Agent Darren Mugleston. Correct?	Special Agent Darin Mugleston. Correct?
1	78	11	I have another suggestion potentially	I have another suggestion that potentially
1	82	19	supporting agent when Darren Mugleston, Special	supporting agent when Darin Mugleston, Special
1	82	20	Agent Darren Mugleston was the lead agent. I was	Agent Darin Mugleston was the lead agent. I was
1	83	3	after Darren Mugleston retired that I became the	after Darin Mugleston retired that I became the

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
1	84	1	when Darren Mugleston retired, he became co- or he	when Darin Mugleston retired, he became co- or he
1	84	9		that Darin, Special Agent Mugleston retired after
1	84	16	when Darren Mugleston, my recollection was I just	when Darin Mugleston, my recollection was I just
1	84	20	recall when Darren Mugleston retired.	recall when Darin Mugleston retired.
1	86	18	and they contacted EPA, Darren Mugleston	and they contacted EPA, Darin Mugleston
1	100	19	exhibits, RPPGs SDS's. So that information is	exhibits, are PPGs SDS's. So that information is
1	117	2	our previous motion, which we said that Your Honor	our previous motion, which Your Honor
1	121	21	Yes. So Darren Mugleston interviewed	Yes. So Darin Mugleston interviewed
1	127	2	they provided records to Special agent Darren	they provided records to Special agent Darin
1	128	18	"The Interview of Captain Grainger with the RRT	"The Interview of Captain Riedinger with the RRT
1	129	9	MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, Complainants	MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, Complainant
1	129	10	request that the Tribunal admit CX20 into evidence.	requests that the Tribunal admit CX20 into evidence.
1	130	7	report is documenting for Darren Mugleston that he	report is documenting for Darin Mugleston that he
1	131	5	interview here of Sandy Derrick, the D&W truck	interview here of Sandy Derrick, the B&W truck
1	133	6	Darren Mugleston had this, I remember him pointing	Darin Mugleston had this, I remember him pointing
1	133	7	out some comment. My recollection is this. Darren	
1	133	12	this with Darren.	this with Darin.
1	138	5	interview and documents of Richard (phonetic)	interview and documents of Wickenden and
1	138	6	Simmons from H2O.	Simmons from H2O.
1	150	12	documenting the receipt of allegations from IBEQ and	documenting the receipt of allegations from IDEQ and
1	153	10	the report is "Interview of IKD employees, Vaughn	the report is "Interview of IDT employees, Vaughn
1	154	8	innate of objection	in aid of objection
1	154	10	MR. MCKAY: innate of further	MR. MCKAY: in aid of further
1	158	19	is the interview of David McCollum, Quarter	is the interview of David McCallum, Corder
1	162	14	requests that the Tribunal admits CX59 into	requests that the Tribunal admit CX59 into
1	163	13	is "Interview of Thomas Leman (phonetic) and J.B.	is "Interview of Thomas Lehman and J.B.
1	164	22	"4/14/16, recorded interview of Rick Lee, D&W"	"4/14/16, recorded interview of Rick Lee, B&W"
1	166	10	recorded interview of David McCullough, Quarter	recorded interview of David McCallum, Corder
1	171	18	I'm seeing a blank screen. Rick, can you hear us?	I'm seeing a blank screen. Marc, can you hear us?
1	184	10	started, Special Agent Darren Mugleston was the CID	started, Special Agent Darin Mugleston was the CID
1	185	21	Laurianne, that I learned that Darren was not	Laurianne, that I learned that Darin was not

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
1	189	12	of Agent Mugleston's interview of a ten quarter, is	of Agent Mugleston's interview of Tim Corder, is
1	189	21	with quarter has been included below to provide an	with Corder has been included below to provide an
1	190	22	MR. MILLER: It's not, and I will confirm	MR. MCKAY: It's not, and I will confirm
1	203	5	pulled from OSHA, that was our electronic case	pulled from OCEAN, that was our electronic case
1	203	6	management system at the time, and provided the	management system at the time, and provided the
1	203	7	record, minus 60 and minus the actual disc. So,	record, minus 6E and minus the actual disc. So,
1	205	13	know for certain that ever recording from CID is in	know for certain that every recording from CID is in
1	208	4	a reasonable solution? I think that us having the	a reasonable solution? MR. MCKAY: I think that us having the
1	227	5	Q Do you see reference to Darrel Redding	Q Do you see reference to Darrel Riedinger
1	228	8	scene Kevin Bonner (phonetic), whether he consulted	scene Colin Bonner, whether he consulted
1	229	8	document. I'm looking at 7 again now. I pursued	document. I'm looking at 7 again now. I perused
1	240	20	City, Utah crimes facility.	City, Utah Prime facility.
1	241	11	safety data sheet. That product was called universal	safety data sheets. That product was called universal
1	244	8	couldn't see the last time unless Tribett scrolled.	couldn't see the last time Ms. Tribett scrolled.
1	249	4	the number of protons or electrons that are in an	the number of protons and electrons that are in an
1	251	6	I also take measurements at NEIC for pH flashpoint	I also take measurements at NEIC for pH, flashpoint,
1	251	7	percent waters. I do x-ray diffractions and also	percent water. I do x-ray diffractions and also
1	256	18	MR. JENKINS: I think we all did.	MR. RYAN: I think we all did.
1	273	2	tested reported on the safety data sheet and NEIC	tested, reported, on the safety data sheet and NEIC
1	275	9	being the waste manifest that Prime Ink used to ship	being the waste manifest that Prime Inc. used to ship
1	283	4	aqueous solutions, which is another way, much more	aqueous solutions, which in another way, much more
1	289	14	measured TCLP of 0.31 percent	measured TCLP leachable chromium of 0.31 percent
1	292	16	not sampled NEIC have exceeded the RCRA toxicity	not sampled by NEIC have exceeded the RCRA toxicity
1	296	4	too. So might make most sense to start fresh in the	too. So it might make most sense to start fresh in the
2	9	3	two demonstrative. The first demonstrative will	two demonstratives. The first demonstrative will
2	10	18	to gleam from looking at the RCRA penalty policy,	to glean from looking at the RCRA penalty policy,

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
2	11	1	Honor, specifically with regard to the first on	Honor, specifically with regard to the first one
2	13	14	yesterday. Dr. Katellis's expert report	yesterday. Dr. Keteles's expert report is
2	13	19	Dr. Katellis is not testifying until	Dr. Keteles is not testifying until
2	13	22	McNeill has review the drum logs. So we have two	McNeill has reviewed the drum logs. So we have two
2	14	5	them, or we can wait until Dr. Katellis	them, or we can wait until Dr. Keteles
2	14	8	Ms. McNeill back at the end of Dr. Katellis's	Ms. McNeill back at the end of Dr. Keteles's
2	14	15	the case. I think chosen to put on Dr. Katellis	the case. I think chosen to put on Dr. Keteles
2	15	6	irrespective of Dr. Katellis.	irrespective of Dr. Keteles
2	16	21	MR. FIGUR: Correct. We mark it in	MR. FIGUR: Correct. We marked it in
2	21	15	RCRA inspector, an enforcement officer. I've	RCRA inspector and enforcement officer. I've
2	22	14	I can see that. It's okay.	l can't see that, Kate.
2	23	3	Q What is it? A	Q What is it?
2	23	4	lt's my r,sum,.	It's my resume.
2	25	14	excuse me. I might just call the penalty	excuse me. I might just call it the penalty
2	28	12	what matter in their entirety. I'm trying to	what matter in their entirety. I'm not trying to
2	31	8	the RCRA program is safe storage of hazard waste.	the RCRA program is safe storage of hazardous waste.
2	33	10	proposed penalties assessment. Basically, this	proposed penalty assessment. Basically, this
2	33	13	is this EX04 corrected?	is this CX04 corrected?
2	33	22	officers, determine the violations and then use	officers, we determine the violations and then use
2	37	3	the letter. So the four steps in the penalty	the letter. So the first step in the penalty
2	39	3	exposure, so human health and the environment.	exposure, to human health and the environment.
2	41	1	and a minor potential for harm in extent of	and a minor potential for harm and extent of
2	41	2	deviations.	deviation.
2	41	4	nine-cell. And within each cell is a range of	nine-cell matrix. And within each cell is a range of
2	48	8	moderate extent of deviation is if you look a	moderate extent of deviation is if you look at a
2	48	14	meet that requirement, they didn't get all of it.	meet that requirement, but they didn't get all of it.
2	48	16	took some steps, but they didn't communicate	took some steps, but they didn't comply with
2	48	17	anything.	everything.
2	51	12	are for more of the basis for the RCRA program.	form more of the basis for the RCRA program.
2	51	13	So having a permit to storage	So having a permit to store
2	53	12	Q 39 and 113.	Q 39 of 113.
2	55	9	be considered.	be considered if
2	55	10	The good faith efforts to comply	(delete paragraph change) the good faith efforts to comply
2	55	11	primarily consists of just coming back into	primarily consist of just coming back into
2	55	21	constituting the violation. The foreseeability	constituting the violation, the foreseeability
2	56	9	A Yes. For histories of non-compliance,	A Yes. For history of non-compliance,
			•	· · · ·
2	57	10	question. Basically, it's just the leveled	question. Basically, it's just the level

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
2	50	4	ton percent llowever the adjustment factor is	ten percent for cooperation. However, the
2	59	4	ten percent. However, the adjustment factor is	adjustment factor is
2	60	11	both parties as well as the motion in terms of	both parties as well as the motion for
2	60	12	how they made the decision, the order on the	accelerated decision, the order on the
2	61	15	This is Appendix 1 to Dr. Katellis's	This is Appendix A to Dr. Keteles's
2	61	18	Dr. Katellis's possession?	Dr. Keteles's possession?
2	61	21	Does Dr. Katellis also work for NEIC?	Does Dr. Keteles also work for NEIC?
2	63	14	presenting Dr. Katellis, obviously, a cross of	presenting Dr. Keteles, obviously, a cross of
2	64	19	stapled into their inspection.	conducted their inspection.
2	65	8	32, which included the 20 drums to be hazardous,	32, which included the 20 drums determined to be hazardous
2	65	10	primer that all of those drums were noted to be	primer, that all of those drums were noted to be
2	65	12	rested, and they were all noted to be burnt.	rusted, and they were all noted to be burnt.
2	66	11	Dr. Katellis may be able to testify more directly	Dr. Keteles may be able to testify more directly
2	70	15	fundamental to the RCRA program. They were the	fundamental to the RCRA program. They are the
2	72	1	likely indication that it would be hazardous	a likely indication that it would be hazardous
2	74	7	bill of lading that accompany the load. That	bill of lading that accompanied the load. That
2	74	10	is DOT is considered to be a DOT Hazard Class	the load is considered to be a DOT Hazard Class
2	75	2	The third piece of information, I believe there's	(new paragraph) The third piece of information, I believe there's
2	75	5	timeframe.	timeframe, (delete paragraph change)
2	75	6	The Idaho State Department of	the Idaho State Department of
2	75	18	into account and applied that knowledge to the	into account and apply that knowledge to the
2	75	19	drums that have been taken to Salt Lake City.	drums that had been taken to Salt Lake City.
2	78	1	that they believe that the SDS sheets were on the	that they believed that the SDS sheets were on the
2	79	9	determined on the SDS, does that necessarily mean	designated hazardous on the SDS, does that necessarily mean
2	80	1	will therefore manage it as a hazardous waste.	we'll therefore manage it as a hazardous waste.
2	88	3	harm and extent of deviation from the gravity	harm and extent of deviation form the gravity
2	89	15	Mr. McCallo, who was one of the	Mr. McCallum, who was one of the
2	92	17	Q But could Prime had done anything the	Q But could Prime have done anything the
2	93	3	Chemtrack. So this was PPG's bill of lading, so	Chemtrec. So this was PPG's bill of lading, so
2	93	9	either of those and said they chose to rely on a	either of those and instead they chose to rely on a
2	93	12	please describe how region to region looked at	please describe how the region looked at
2	93	16	making a waste determination is what the critical	making a waste determination is the critical
2	95	20	be at the bottom of the matrix cell on Prime's	be at the bottom of the matrix cell based on Prime's
2	97	19	300 days that they were managing the waste. We	300 days that they were managing the waste, but we

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
2	97	20	consider the totality of the circumstances and	considered the totality of the circumstances and
2	101	2	Another point in that is that the	Another point is that the
2	101	6	potentially actually not giving them as large of	potentially actually not given them as large of
2	101	15	Q I could follow up on then.	Q I could follow up on it then.
2	102	2	Q Let's turn to willfulness and	Q Let's turn to the willfulness and
2	102	17	and they knew or should have known about the	and if they knew or should have known about the
2	103	10	regarding, as I said, the fact that paint has	regarding, as I said, the fact that paint had
2	103	17	connection with the second claim, what's referred	connection with the second clean-up, what's referred
2	104	2	A No. They have the ability to make a	A No. They had the ability to make a
2	105	8	which is stipulated and admitted.	which is stipulated and admitted?
2	109	4	Q Is it fair to say that the generator	Q Is it fair to say that the generator should
2	109	9	question of how much the sample lies?	question of how much to sample lies?
2	114	1	from the original calculator proposed penalty to	from the original calculated proposed penalty to
2	116	22	a complainant viewed the potential for harm for	complainant viewed the potential for harm for
2	117	9	BMW's flatbed truck being loaded from the site of	B&W's flatbed truck being loaded from the site of
2	117	21	human health and the environment for county two?	human health and the environment for count two?
2	119	17	those fundamental requirement of the RCRA program	those fundamental requirements of the RCRA program
2	120	2	of his hazardous waste, so it was a complete	of this hazardous waste, so it was a complete
2	120	3	deviation of the requirement and determined to be	deviation from the requirement and determined to be
2	122	4	actually given in the title of penalty policy as	actually given in the RCRA penalty policy as
2	123	6	which box in the penalty matrix cell to direct	which box in the penalty matrix cell to derive
2	126	8	okay. (Simultaneous speaking.) It's 36,307	okay. (Simultaneous speaking.) It's 36,207
2	126	17	shipping papers that were on the truck with bill	shipping papers that were on the truck with the bill
2	127	13	period without getting an EPA permit.	period without getting a RCRA permit.
2	129	17	Universal (audio interference) primer?	Universal urethane yellow primer?
2	129	21	There's O, can you stop? The safe	There's oh, can you stop? The safe
2	130	10	recollect in the SDS O?	recollect in the SDS?
2	131	12	actual harm, evidence of actual harm. However,	no actual harm, no evidence of actual harm. However,
2	132	19	noncompliance, so I apologize every time I say's	noncompliance, so I apologize every time I say it's
2	132	21	are all form the very basis of the RCRA program.	all form the very basis of the RCRA program.
2	133	15	A A standard deviation was determined to	A Extent of deviation was determined to
r				
2	133	19	Department of Environmental Equality. Neither	Department of Environmental Quality. Neither

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
2	134	7	because of Prime's integral cooperation with	because of Prime's general cooperation with
2	134	8	EPA's DID and because the waste was eventually	EPA's CID and because the waste was eventually
2	135	17	would be fine. Also, the per account table is	would be fine. Also, the per count table is
2	135	19	Oh right, the per account table, so	Oh right, the per count table, so
2	136	18	matrix cell, which was 16,776 dollars.	matrix cell, which was 16,767 dollars.
2	137	7	major potential for harm, major extended	major potential for harm, major extent of
2	137	10	In this case, it was a module potential for harm,	In this case, it was a moderate potential for harm,
2	137	11	major extended deviation in which the penalty	major extent of deviation in which the penalty
2	137	17	So, that heavy effect of changing the	So, that had the effect of changing the
2	138	2	but we decided to not use that discretion to	but we decided to use discretion and not
2	138	8	gravity, we used the moderate major cell of the	gravity, we used the moderate/major cell of the
2	138	15	in the penalty matrix that was applied reflected	in the penalty matrix that was applied, reflected,
2	138	17	So, that heavy effect of changing the	So, that has the effect of changing the
2	138	22	multi-day penalty cell for moderate major, I	multi-day penalty cell for moderate/major, I
2	139	1	believe, was up to like 3,383 dollars.	believe, was up to like 3,883 dollars
2	139	2	(Simultaneous speaking.) The point is the center	(Simultaneous speaking). The point in the center
2	139	6	assessed as under the gravity penalty matrix and	assessed under the gravity penalty matrix and
2	139	7	then remaining 179 days are under the continuing	then the remaining 179 days are under the continuing
2	154	1	I do know that when CAD arrived on	I do know that when CID arrived on
2	154	3	there was a tarp over the burn drums on the	there was a tarp over the burned drums on the
2	154	7	please briefly describe count for?	please briefly describe count four?
2	158	3	considered if the drums were full of waste at the	consider if the drums were full of waste at the
2	159	21	stored on the burn trailer for almost a year.	stored on the burned trailer for almost a year.
2	160	10	that we had sought when EPA originally calculated	that we had thought when EPA originally calculated
2	160	11	the penalty. So, we wanted to represent certain	the penalty. So, we wanted to be certain about
2	161	6	of the drums, the fact that they were opened	of the drums, the fact that they were open
2	163	14	and that's all exhibited.	at the facility.
2	165	9	in any of these reports that the drums were	it's in any of these reports that the drums were
2	169	9	EASE is a nationally consistent dataset to	it uses a nationally consistent dataset to
2	170	19	demographic in environmental indicators. It	demographic and environmental indicators. It
2	171	18	percentile compared to the state EPA regions and	percentile compared to the state, EPA regions and
2	172	12	that industrial facility on a daily basis. And	those industrial facilities on a daily basis. And
2	173	20	Because it met the storage requirement	Because it didn't meet the storage requirements
2	173	21	permit in this case, as we discussed, the	in this case, as we discussed, the
2	173	22	definition for a major extended deviation would	definition for a major extent of deviation

2 174 4 major extended deviation. major extend of deviation. 2 174 9 potential for harm, and extend of deviation, the potential for harm, and extend of deviation, the treatment, storage and disposal facility. 2 175 15 Q Please describe the Claimant's Q Please describe the Claimant's 2 176 6 this document when this kind of penalty was this document when this proposed penalty was 2 176 15 Please describe the Claimant's Please describe the Complainant's 2 176 15 Please describe the Claimant's Please describe the Complainant's 2 177 7 drums would have been hazardous material if not a drums would have been hazardous material if not a discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent into compliance. And so those factors don't really 2 181 21 into the plan. And so those factors don't really violation. You know, they came back into the compliance. 2 182 1 violation. You know, they came back into the compliance. violatin or not knowing violating or not knowing the right knowing. violating or not knowing the right knowing. violating or not knowing the right knowing. violating or not knowing violating or not knowing the right knowing. violating or not kno	Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
2 174 20 treatment diversion facility. treatment, storage and disposal facility. 2 175 15 Q Please describe the Claimant's Q Please describe Complainant's 2 176 6 this document when this kind of penalty was this document when this proposed penalty was 2 176 15 Please describe the Claimant's Please describe the Complainant's 2 178 7 drums would have been hazardous material if not a drums would have been hazardous material if not a 2 178 12 discussed in Count 1, added to a ten percent discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent 2 181 21 into the plan. And so those factors don't really into compliance. And so those factors don't really 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after but as i said that's expected after 2 182 6 knowing, violate or not knowing the right knowing, violating or not knowing the right 2 186 11 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive into the matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive 2 186 11 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive into the matrix, p	2	174	4	major extended deviation.	major extent of deviation.
2 175 15 Q. Please describe the Claimant's Q. Please describe Complainant's 2 176 6 this document when this kind of penalty was this document when this proposed penalty was 2 176 15 Please describe the Claimant's Please describe the Complainant's 2 178 7 a drums would have been hazardous material if not 2 178 12 discussed in Count 1, added to a ten percent discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent 2 181 21 into the plan. And so those factors don't really into compliance, And so those factors don't really 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after but as I said that's expected after 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after but as I said that's expected after 2 182 1 violation or not knowing the right knowing, violating or not knowing the right 2 186 10 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive in the matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive 2 186 11 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive all of the pre-hearing information. So	2	174	9	potential for harm, and extended deviation, the	potential for harm, and extent of deviation, the
2 176 6 this document when this kind of penalty was this document when this proposed penalty was 2 176 15 Please describe the Claimant's Please describe the Complainant's 2 178 7 drums would have been hazardous material if not a drums would have been hazardous material if not a 2 178 12 discussed in Count 1, added to a ten percent discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent 2 181 21 into the plan. And so those factors don't really into compliance. And so those factors don't really 2 182 1 violation. You know, they came back into the violation. You know, they came back into compliance, 2 182 6 knowing: violate or not knowing the right knowing: violating or not knowing the right 3 11 stage environmental agency, that there are state environmental agency, that there are 2 186 10 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive in the matrix, penalty matrix from which you derive 2 186 11 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive all of the pre-sentencing information. So 2 186 12 was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TS	2	174	20	treatment diversion facility.	treatment, storage and disposal facility.
2 176 15 Please describe the Claimant's Please describe the Complainant's 2 178 7 drums would have been hazardous material if not a drums would have been hazardous material if not discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent 2 178 12 discussed in Count 1, added to a ten percent discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent 2 181 21 into the plan. And so those factors don't really into compliance. And so those factors don't really 2 182 1 violation. You know, they came back into the violation. You know, they came back into compliance. 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after knowing, violate or not knowing the right knowing, violating or not knowing the right 3 11 stage environmental agency, that there are state environmental agency, that there are harm and extent of deviation to determine the cell 2 186 10 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive all of the pre-hearing information. So 2 186 12 all of the pre-sentencing information. So all of the pre-hearing information. So 2 188 10 efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for efforts to comply as an adjustment fa	2	175	15	Q Please describe the Claimant's	Q Please describe Complainant's
2 178 7 drums would have been hazardous material if not a trums would have been hazardous material if not a trums would have been hazardous material if not discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent 2 181 21 into the plan. And so those factors don't really into compliance. And so those factors don't really 2 182 1 violation. You know, they came back into the violation. You know, they came back into the 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after tota so those factors don't really 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after tota so thowing, violate or not knowing the right 2 183 11 stage environmental agency, that there are state environmental agency, that there are 2 186 10 harm and extended deviation to determine the cell in the matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive all of the pre-hearing information. So 2 186 17 all of the pre-sentencing information. So all of the pre-hearing information. So 2 186 10 efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for efforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For 2 188 10 efforts to comply as an adjustment factor, for civil penalty pol	2	176	6	this document when this kind of penalty was	this document when this proposed penalty was
2 178 7 a drums would have been hazardous material if not 2 178 12 discussed in Count 1, added to a ten percent discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent 2 181 21 into the plan. And so those factors don't really into compliance. And so those factors don't really 2 182 1 violation. You know, they came back into the violation. You know, they came back into compliance, 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after but as I said that's expected after 2 182 6 knowing, violate or not knowing the right knowing, violating or not knowing the right 2 186 10 harm and extended deviation to determine the cell harm and extent of deviation to determine the cell 2 186 11 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive in the matrix, penalty matrix from which you derive 2 186 17 all of the pre-sentencing information. So all of the pre-hearing information. So 2 188 10 efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for 2 189 17 A I have notice that it was determined A I	2	176	15	Please describe the Claimant's	Please describe the Complainant's
2 181 21 into the plan. And so those factors don't really into compliance. And so those factors don't really 2 182 1 violation. You know, they came back into the violation. You know, they came back into compliance, 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after but as I said that's expected after 2 182 6 knowing, violate or not knowing the right knowing, violation or deviation or deviation to determine the cell 2 186 10 harm and extended deviation to determine the cell harm and extended deviation to determine the cell 2 186 17 all of the pre-sentencing information. So all of the pre-hearing information. So 2 186 22 was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC. was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSD. 2 188 10 efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for efforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For 2 188 11 willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percent will fulness and negligence. again, a ten percent 2 190 18 general penalty policy to, as I said, take into civil penalty policy to, as I said, take into 2 190	2	178	7		drums would have been hazardous material if not
2 181 21 into the plan. And so those factors don't really into compliance. And so those factors don't really 2 182 1 violation. You know, they came back into the violation. You know, they came back into compliance, 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after but as I said that's expected after 2 182 6 knowing, violate or not knowing the right knowing, violation or deviation or deviation to determine the cell 2 186 10 harm and extended deviation to determine the cell harm and extended deviation to determine the cell 2 186 17 all of the pre-sentencing information. So all of the pre-hearing information. So 2 186 22 was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC. was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSD. 2 188 10 efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for efforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For 2 188 11 willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percent will fulness and negligence. again, a ten percent 2 190 18 general penalty policy to, as I said, take into civil penalty policy to, as I said, take into 2 190	2	178	12	discussed in Count 1, added to a ten percent	discussed in Count 1, added a ten percent
2 122 1 violation. You know, they came back into the compliance, but as Isaid that's expected after compliance, but as Isaid that's expected after 2 182 2 plan, but everybody said that's expected after but as Isaid that's expected after 2 182 6 knowing, violate or not knowing the right knowing, violating or not knowing the right 2 186 10 harm and extended deviation to determine the cell harm and extended deviation to determine the cell 2 186 11 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive in the matrix, penalty matrix from which you derive 2 186 17 all of the pre-sentencing information. So all of the pre-hearing information. So 2 186 22 was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC. was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSD. 2 188 10 efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for efforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For 2 188 11 willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percent willfulness and negligence, again, a ten percent 2 189 17 A I have notice that it was determined A It was determined 2 190 18	2	181	21	into the plan. And so those factors don't really	
21826knowing, violate or not knowing the rightknowing, violating or not knowing the right218311stage environmental agency, that there arestate environmental agency, that there are218610harm and extended deviation to determine the cellharm and extended deviation to determine the cell218611and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derivein the matrix, penalty matrix from which you derive218617all of the pre-sentencing information. Soall of the pre-hearing information. So218622was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC.was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSD.218810efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for general penalty policy to, as I said, take into civil penalty policy to, as I said, take into218917A I have notice that it was determinedA It was determined219019account the number of factors, cases that have account the number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could have factor for one of the counts when we could have219235. So that automatically reduces the potential 25. that automatically reduces the potential 3. to21949been managed at that location, but was location been managed at that location, but was locationmanage have their place along that cradle to been managed at that location, because it's location21949Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony is	2	182	1	violation. You know, they came back into the	
2 183 11 stage environmental agency, that there are state environmental agency, that there are 2 186 10 harm and extended deviation to determine the cell 2 186 11 and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive in the matrix, penalty matrix from which you derive 2 186 17 all of the pre-sentencing information. So all of the pre-hearing information. So 2 186 22 was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC. was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC. 2 188 10 efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for efforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For 2 188 11 willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percent willfulness and negligence, again, a ten percent 2 189 17 A I have notice that it was determined A It was determined 2 190 18 general penalty policy to, as I said, take into civil penalty policy to, as I said, take into 2 191 17 factor for one of the counts when could have factor for one of the counts when we could have 2 192 2 rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to to	2	182	2	plan, but everybody said that's expected after	but as I said that's expected after
218610harm and extended deviation to determine the cellharm and extent of deviation to determine the cell218611and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derivein the matrix, penalty matrix from which you derive218617all of the pre-sentencing information. Soall of the pre-hearing information. So218617all of the pre-sentencing information. Soall of the pre-hearing information. So218622was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC.was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSD.218810efforts to comply as an adjustment factor forefforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For218811willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percentwillfulness and negligence, again, a ten percent219018general penalty policy to, as I said, take intocivil penalty policy to, as I said, take into219019account the number of factors, cases that haveaccount a number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could havefactor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to to toNovember 2nd, 2013, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle tomanage have their place along that cradle to been managed at that location, but was location21949been managed at that location, but was locationwas not included in our initial filing, becausee <tr< td=""><td>2</td><td>182</td><td>6</td><td>knowing, violate or not knowing the right</td><td>knowing, violating or not knowing the right</td></tr<>	2	182	6	knowing, violate or not knowing the right	knowing, violating or not knowing the right
218610 cellharm and extent of deviation to determine the cell218611and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derivein the matrix, penalty matrix from which you derive218617all of the pre-sentencing information. Soall of the pre-hearing information. So218622was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC.was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSD.218810efforts to comply as an adjustment factor forefforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For218811willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percentwillfulness and negligence, again, a ten percent219018general penalty policy to, as I said, take intocivil penalty policy to, as I said, take into219019account the number of factors, cases that haveaccount a number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could havefactor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to totake into21921917factor for one of the coants when could havefactor for one, their space along that cradle to been manage have their space along that cradle to219219manage have their space along that cradle tobeen managed at that location, but was location219319manage have their space along that cradle to been managed at that location, but was locationwas not included in our initial ruling, because <tr<< td=""><td>2</td><td>183</td><td>11</td><td>stage environmental agency, that there are</td><td>state environmental agency, that there are</td></tr<<>	2	183	11	stage environmental agency, that there are	state environmental agency, that there are
218611and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive derive218617all of the pre-sentencing information. Soall of the pre-hearing information. So218617all of the pre-sentencing information. Soall of the pre-hearing information. So218622was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC.was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSD.218810efforts to comply as an adjustment factor forefforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For218811willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percentwillfulness and negligence, again, a ten percent218917A I have notice that it was determinedA It was determined219018general penalty policy to, as I said, take intocivil penalty policy to, as I said, take into219019account the number of factors, cases that haveaccount a number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could havefactor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 torather than the statutory maximum. For Counts 321921910manage have their space along that cradle tomanage have their space along that cradle to219319manage have their space along that cradle tomanage have their place along that cradle to21949been managed at that location, but was locationVour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is	2	186	10		harm and extent of deviation to determine the cell
218622was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSC.was eventually disposed of as hazardous at a TSD.218810efforts to comply as an adjustment factor forefforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For218811willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percentwillfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percent218917A I have notice that it was determinedA It was determined219018general penalty policy to, as I said, take intocivil penalty policy to, as I said, take into219019account the number of factors, cases that haveaccount a number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could havefactor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to torather than the statutory maximum. For Counts 3 to219212November 2nd, 2013, cut off.November 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle to been managed at that location, but was locationbeen managed at that location, but was location220015was not included in our initial ruling, because to as not included in our initial filing, becauseYour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony is Your Honor. Thank you, the is citing to the plead220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22002Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the di	2	186	11	and matrix, penalty matrix for which you derive	
218810efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for efforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For218811willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percent willfulness and negligence, again, a ten percent218917A I have notice that it was determined general penalty policy to, as I said, take into account the number of factors, cases that have account a number of factors, case-specific219019account the number of factors, cases that have account a number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could have factor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to to219235. So that automatically reduces the potential potentialNovember 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle to locationmanage have their place along that cradle to location220015was not included in our initial ruling, because 2Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony is Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony is, 2Your Honor. Thank you, or he is citing to the plead to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead to fact is, is that what we were the fact is, is that what is different220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I loid so from a differentYes, I looked at the different <td>2</td> <td>186</td> <td>17</td> <td>all of the pre-sentencing information. So</td> <td>all of the pre-hearing information. So</td>	2	186	17	all of the pre-sentencing information. So	all of the pre-hearing information. So
218811willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percentwillfulness and negligence, again, a ten percent218917A I have notice that it was determinedA It was determined219018general penalty policy to, as I said, take intocivil penalty policy to, as I said, take into219019account the number of factors, cases that haveaccount a number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could havefactor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to torather than the statutory maximum. For Counts 3 to to219235. So that automatically reduces the potential5, that automatically reduces the potential219319manage have their space along that cradle to been managed at that location, but was locationmanage have their place along that cradle to been managed at that location, but was location2204101:12:03. The fact is, is that what we were to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I looked at the differentYes, I looked at the different	2	186	22		
218811willfulness and negligence. Again, a ten percentwillfulness and negligence, again, a ten percent218917A I have notice that it was determinedA It was determined219018general penalty policy to, as I said, take intocivil penalty policy to, as I said, take into219019account the number of factors, cases that haveaccount a number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could havefactor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to torather than the statutory maximum. For Counts 3 to to219235. So that automatically reduces the potentialNovember 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle to been managed at that location, but was locationmanage have their space along that cradle to been managed at that location, but was location220410112:03. The fact is, is that what we were to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead to testimony today, or he is citing to the plead220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22012Yes, I lidi so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	188	10	efforts to comply as an adjustment factor for	efforts to comply as an adjustment factor. For
218917A I have notice that it was determinedA It was determined219018general penalty policy to, as I said, take intocivil penalty policy to, as I said, take into219019account the number of factors, cases that haveaccount a number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could havefactor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 torather than the statutory maximum. For Counts 3 to219235. So that automatically reduces the potential5, that automatically reduces the potential219212November 2nd, 2013, cut off.November 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle tobeen managed at that location, but was location220015was not included in our initial ruling, becausewas not included in our initial ruling, because22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony isYour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is,220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the pleading?220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	188	11		
219019account the number of factors, cases that have account a number of factors, case-specific219117factor for one of the counts when could have factor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to to219235. So that automatically reduces the potential5, that automatically reduces the potential219212November 2nd, 2013, cut off.November 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle to been managed at that location, but was locationbeen managed at that location, but was location220015was not included in our initial ruling, becausewas not included in our initial filing, because2204101:12:03. The fact is, is that what we werethe fact is, is that what we were220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	189	17	A I have notice that it was determined	
219117factor for one of the counts when could havefactor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 torather than the statutory maximum. For Counts 3 to219235. So that automatically reduces the potential5, that automatically reduces the potential219212November 2nd, 2013, cut off.November 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle tomanage have their place along that cradle to21949been managed at that location, but was locationbeen managed at that location, but was location220015was not included in our initial ruling, becausewas not included in our initial filing, because22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony isYour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is,220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the plea220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	190	18	general penalty policy to, as I said, take into	civil penalty policy to, as I said, take into
219117factor for one of the counts when could havefactor for one of the counts when we could have21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to torather than the statutory maximum. For Counts 3 to219235. So that automatically reduces the potential5, that automatically reduces the potential219212November 2nd, 2013, cut off.November 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle tomanage have their place along that cradle to21949been managed at that location, but was locationbeen managed at that location, but was location220015was not included in our initial ruling, becausewas not included in our initial filing, because22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony is 2Your Honor. Thank you, or he is citing to the plea220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the plea220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	190	19	account the number of factors, cases that have	
21922rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to to219235. So that automatically reduces the potential5, that automatically reduces the potential219212November 2nd, 2013, cut off.November 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle tomanage have their place along that cradle to21949been managed at that location, but was locationbeen managed at that location, but was location220015was not included in our initial ruling, becausewas not included in our initial filing, because22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony isYour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is,220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the plea220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	191	17		
219212November 2nd, 2013, cut off.November 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle tomanage have their place along that cradle to21949been managed at that location, but was locationbeen managed at that location, because it's location220015was not included in our initial ruling, becausewas not included in our initial filing, because22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony isYour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is,2204101:12:03. The fact is, is that what we werethe fact is, is that what we were220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the pleading?220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	192	2	rather than the statutory maximum for Counts 3 to	
219212November 2nd, 2013, cut off.November 2nd, 2015, cut off.219319manage have their space along that cradle tomanage have their place along that cradle to21949been managed at that location, but was locationbeen managed at that location, because it's location220015was not included in our initial ruling, becausewas not included in our initial filing, because22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony isYour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is,2204101:12:03. The fact is, is that what we werethe fact is, is that what we were220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the pleading?220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	192	3	5. So that automatically reduces the potential	5, that automatically reduces the potential
219319manage have their space along that cradle tomanage have their place along that cradle to21949been managed at that location, but was locationbeen managed at that location, because it's location220015was not included in our initial ruling, becausewas not included in our initial filing, because22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony isYour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is,2204101:12:03. The fact is, is that what we werethe fact is, is that what we were220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the plea220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	192	12		November 2nd, 2015, cut off.
21949been managed at that location, but was locationbeen managed at that location, because it's location220015was not included in our initial ruling, becausewas not included in our initial filing, because22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony is 2Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony is Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony is, 1:12:03. The fact is, is that what we werethe fact is, is that what we were220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the pleading?220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different					
22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony isYour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is,2204101:12:03. The fact is, is that what we werethe fact is, is that what we were220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the pleading?220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	194	9		been managed at that location, because it's
22049Your Honor. Thank you, the testimony isYour Honor. Thank you, the testimony is,2204101:12:03. The fact is, is that what we werethe fact is, is that what we were220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the pleading?220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	200	15	was not included in our initial ruling, because	was not included in our initial filing, because
2204101:12:03. The fact is, is that what we werethe fact is, is that what we were220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the pleading?220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different	2	204	9		
220515to testimony today, or he is citing to the pleato testimony today, or he is citing to the pleading?220516agreement?[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]22102Yes, I did so from a differentYes, I looked at the different			10	· · ·	
2 210 2 Yes, I did so from a different Yes, I looked at the different					
2 210 2 Yes, I did so from a different Yes, I looked at the different	2	205	16	agreement?	[Deletion only. Suggested edit in line 15.]
	2	210	3	exhibit.	exhibits.

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
2	212	7	1:19:51?	[delete]
2	215	8	1:22:55	[delete]
2	217	19	published that reflected the evidence for	published that reflected the adjustment for
2	219	3	penalty policy and give that to any informants as	penalty policy and give that to any respondent as
2	223	22	specific information. Not so they're based on	specific information. So they're based on
2	228	17	negligence?	negligence.
2	229	6	A Based on cases and the information.	A Based on case specific information.
2	235	18	probability exposure would be a concerning to	probability of exposure would be a concern,
2	235	19	like open germ volatizing that people are	like an open drum volatizing that people are
2	236	9	as I said, the potential the probability or	as I said, the potential the probability of
2	238	6	probability to the exposure because that's what	probability of exposure because that's what
2	239	7	accounts are about when the drums were stored in	counts are about when the drums were stored in
2	243	4	There's so many other circumstances that depends	There's so many other circumstances that it depends
2	243	5	on it.	on.
2	244	19	the \$202,295 figure times 179 days for as the	the \$2,295 figure times 179 days for as the
2	245	6	low point is \$706 and they high point is \$3,383.	low point is \$706 and the high point is \$3,883.
2	245	16	So as a matter of math, you used the	So as a matter of math, if you used the
2	247	5	matrix standard deviation penalty matrix cell.	major extent of deviation penalty matrix cell.
2	249	2	for minor that would be \$618 times 139, according	for minor that would be \$618 times 179, according
2	258	21	in place of 101,439,000. Do you see that?	in place of 101,439. Do you see that?
2	283	6	and then we negotiate there based on the	and then we negotiate that based on the
2	289	5	A I don't have the basis as a standard.	A I don't have the basis to answer that.
2	290	7	analysis which is enshrined in Exhibit CS4	analysis which is enshrined in Exhibit CX4
2	291	2	A God, sorry. Basically good faith	A Got it, sorry. Basically good faith
2	304	4	JUDGE COUGHLIN: Ms. Tibbett, is the	JUDGE COUGHLIN: Ms. Tribbett, is the
2	310	8	and the majorness for mediation, the penalty	and a major extent of deviation, the penalty
2	315	2	unlikely, we now rest.	which is unlikely, we now rest.
2	350	16	A It's to Loads upon Trucking.	A It's Loads of Fun Trucking.
3	23	18	Yes. And do you know Darren	Yes. And do you know Darin
3	23	20	I know Darren Mugleston.	I know Darin Mugleston.
3	108	16	narrative is what Darren caught me on, and I	narrative is what Darin caught me on, and I
3	109	2	And by Darren, who did you understand	And by Darin, who did you understand
3	133	12	me ask it this way, is Quarter White, Quarter	me ask it this way, is Corder White, Corder
3	133	12	me ask it this way, is Quarter White, Quarter	me ask it this way, is Corder White, Corder
3	133	14	understand to be involved with the Mr. Quarter	understand to be involved with the Mr. Corder
3	133	20	involved in recommending Quarter White to assist	involved in recommending Corder White to assist
3	134	1	entity that recommended Quarter White to	entity that recommended Corder White to
3	134	4	Quarter or they claimed, maybe mistakenly, I'm	Corder or they claimed, maybe mistakenly, I'm
3	134	5	not sure, if the Quarter has a hazardous waste	not sure, if the Corder has a hazardous waste
3	134	8	Vaughn was referring to Quarter White Excavation,	Vaughn was referring to Corder White Excavation,

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
3	134	9	or he was referring to Quarter, Inc., which is	or he was referring to Corder, Inc., which is
3	134	15	of the entities, Quarter Trucking, was a	of the entities, Corder Trucking, was a
3	134	22	in recommending Quarter White?	in recommending Corder White?
3	135	22	Quarter White Excavation to PES in connection	Corder White Excavation to PES in connection
3	141	7	2, and we now have the date, January 2, 2021.	22, and we now have the date, January 22, 2021
3	147	14	driver for D&W Wrecker, who was stationed in	driver for B&W Wrecker, who was stationed in
3	201	14	course, is that we've explained that we gave him	course, is that we've explained that we gave them
3	206	6	Reddinger, is it? Reddinger? Reddinger. And	Riedinger [for all]
3	206	7	did you interview Mr. Reddinger?	Riedinger
3	211	13	Q Is it fair to say that it's almost	Q Is it fair to say that at almost
3	212	7	Reddinger advising RRT 4 is on scene, and there's	Riedinger advising RRT 4 is on scene, and there's
3	212	8	no actively at 6:25 a.m.	no active leak at 6:25 a.m.
3	236	11	Quarter has any expertise in RCRA sampling, to	Corder has any expertise in RCRA sampling, to
3	237	21	DES. They're a cleanup and other resource	PES. They're a cleanup and other resource
3	244	2	MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Mogelson's report	MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Mugleston's report
3	245	5	Mogelson that. I don't recall notating that in	Mugleston that. I don't recall notating that in
3	255	10	And on October 1st, Prime hires Bud	And on October 1st, Prime hires Brett's
3	256	13	Straiter at Premium Environmental?	Strader at Premium Environmental?
3	263	5	Quarter was, watched the sampling event and	Corder was, watched the sampling event and
3	263	5	Quarter was, watched the sampling event and	Corder was, watched the sampling event and
3	263	11	Quarter has any expertise in RCRA sampling, to	Corder has any expertise in RCRA sampling, to
3	265	5	Prime's contract at Premium told IDQ that it was	Prime's contractor Premium told IDEQ that it was
3	266	22	description of them not leveeing, would this,	description of them not BLEVEing, would this,
3	267	7	blows the bun covers off?	blows the bung covers off?
3	267	9	blows the bun covers off?	blows the bung covers off?
3	267	13	levying up to a point?	BLEVEing, up to a point?
3	270	2	Olheim works in Road Assist, correct? Worked in	Oheim works in Road Assist, correct? Worked in
3	270	4	MR. O'NEILL: Olheim is in Road Assist,	MR. O'NEILL: Oheim is in Road Assist,
3	270	6	MR. FIGUR: And, that Mr. Olheim didn't	MR. FIGUR: And, that Mr. Oheim didn't
3	270	12	load, when Mr. Olheim was talking about, or was	load, when Mr. Oheim was talking about, or was
3	270	13	doing his work, when Mr. Olheim was doing his	doing his work, when Mr. Oheim was doing his
3	279	9	Mogelson's IAR.	Mugleston's IAR.
3	286	6	So when Mr. Derek represents to the	So when Mr. Derrick represents to the
3	287	8	understand from Mr. Mogelson's interview that	understand from Mr. Mugleston's interview that
3	335	7	the local authorities called them, or our load	the local authorities called them, or our road
3	349	12	look at the communiques, or the emails that have	look at the communications, or the emails that have
	363	21	Mondelston, that he got called by Prime's	Mugleston, that he got called by Prime's

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
3	366	14	we hall, and what maybe our preferred freight is	we haul, and what maybe our preferred freight is
3	368	20	Lieman who operates PPG's yard estimates that	Lehman who operates PPG's yard estimates that
4	17	5	MS. RODGERS: Thank you.	MS. JACKSON: Thank you.
4	53	2	MEMBER LEWIS: Good morning, Mr.	MS. JACKSON: Good morning, Mr.
4	53	16	THE WITNESS: I will be referring to	MS. JACKSON: I will be referring to
4	58	14	report written by Darren Levelston (phonetic) on	report written by Darin Mugleston on
4	62	8	A And you said the construction company	Q And you said the construction company
4	81	18	Q Do you see the drum in the trailer in	Q Do you see the drums in the trailer in
4	82	17	of around? You said that you see the drum's	of around? You said that you see the drums
4	82	18	there, do you see the dirt around the drum in the	there, do you see the dirt around the drums in the
4	89	5	take them. Well, do you want to nab folders	take them. Well, do you want to grab photos
4	89	7	MR. RYAN: Yes. Can we just nab the	MR. RYAN: Yes. Can we just grab the
4	89	8	folders real quick, Your Honor?	photos real quick, Your Honor?
4	103	21	understood that trailer was part or the entirety	understood that trailer wascovered part or the entirety
4	104	17	I looked at the NDIC report.	I looked at the NEIC report.
4	105	8	of course, of Dr. Keteles and Dr. Mueller.	of course, of Dr. Keteles and Dr. Miller.
4	112	22	Uh-huh. In the NDIC's report they	Uh-huh. In the NEIC's report they
4	123	17	paint was characterized in the NDIC report as a	paint was characterized in the NEIC report as a
4	124	19	water wastes nearby. But, again, I don't see	waterways nearby. But, again, I don't see
4	124	21	Q The lack of water waste nearby, where	Q The lack of waterways nearby, where
4	127	19	And looking at NDIC's report, there	And looking at NEIC's report, there
4	130	4	ignition force was present.	ignition source was present.
4	137	9	MS. JACKSON: I kind of renew my	MS. JACKSON: I renew my
4	139	11	MR. RYAN: Yes, can we pull up CM-66,	MR. RYAN: Yes, can we pull up CX-66,
4	156	15	grass. It's not actual temperature data. It's	graph . It's not actual temperature data. It's
4	172	7	being heated to hundreds of thousands of degrees.	being heated to hundreds or thousands of degrees.
4	173	2	SDS indicates that the primer could product	SDS indicates that the primer could produce
4	186	7	haven't deferred with counsel so	haven't conferred with counsel so
4	189	4	Kristin	Kristen
4	189	14	Kristin	Kristen
4	190	9	research and public that research.	research and publish that research.
4	191	9	Enforcement Investigation Center, NEIC.	Enforcement Investigations Center, NEIC.
4	193	9	toxicity chemical and use that information to	toxicity of chemicals and use that information to
4	194	19	pesticides cause nervous system effects. And	pesticides that cause nervous system effects. And
4	194	22	metals and assess risk from metals and some of	metals and assessed risk from metals and some of
4	195	2	lead would be neurological effects.	of lead would be neurological effects.
4	203	5	MS. JACKSON: Okay. So, basically,	JUDGE COUGHLIN: Okay. So, basically,

Volume	Page	Line	Original text	Suggested edit
4	207	15	at risk for being bit. Unless that rattlesnake	not at risk for being bit. Unless that rattlesnake
4	207	16	or locked away, you are still at risk for getting	is locked away, you are still at risk for getting
4	210	4	you're going to actually, you're going to change	you're going to actually change
4	215	18	actually knew what the exposure levels would be	actually know what the exposure levels would be
4	216	11	with Dr. Walker's opinion that he chemical	with Dr. Walker's opinion that the chemical
4	222	6	It's probably going to fluff off and form this	It's probably going to sluff off and form this
4	227	7	the facility and a low-density population or	the facility in a low-density population or
4	227	13	risk. And just for an example, for my work	risk. And just for an example, from my work
4	227	19	coming across it are probably low, like other	coming across it are probably low, like
4	227	20	than a backpacker or forest ranger, we still	a backpacker or forest ranger, we still
4	228	6	Again, just with ecological receptors,	Again, with ecological receptors,
4	228	12	lizard, they would still be considered receptors	lizards, they would still be considered receptors
4	229	3	the potential for fire, took, they could catch	the potential for fire too, they could catch
4	238	8	It's hard to say without contact, to	It's hard to say without context, to
4	239	4	the CMB Report.	the CID Report
4	244	21	incorrect. It would be at 20 feet. Those vapors	incorrect. It would not be at 20 feet. Those vapors
4	245	9	The wind might disburse them. But, it	The wind might disperse them. But, it
4	245	10	would disburse them, you know, at the ground	would disperse them, you know, at the ground
4	245	13	supposed. I'm not a meteorologist.	suppose. I'm not a meteorologist.